

University of Missouri-Kansas City



UMKC
measures up
INSTITUTIONAL ACCREDITATION
SELF STUDY

**Institutional Self Study for the Higher Learning
Commission of the North Central Association
of Colleges and Schools**

2009





2009: Institutional Self Study for the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools

Self study published: August 2009
HLC Team visit: Oct. 12-14, 2009

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
History	3
Accreditation - Then and now.....	19
1999 accreditation and the decade following	19
2009 accreditation	22
Letter from Chancellor Leo Morton	27
 Snapshot	 29
 Criterion 1: Mission and Integrity.....	 47
1a. The organization’s mission documents are clear and articulate publicly the organization’s commitments.	49
1b. In its mission documents, the organization recognizes the diversity of its learners, other constituencies, and the greater society it serves.	53
1c. Understanding of and support for the mission pervade the organization.	65
1d. The organization’s governance and administrative structures promote effective leadership and support collaborative processes that enable the organization to fulfill its mission.	74
1e. The organization upholds and protects its integrity.	80
Opportunities for improvement	83
 Criterion 2: Preparing for the Future.....	 87
2a. The organization realistically prepares for a future shaped by multiple societal and economic trends.	89
2b. The organization’s resource base supports its educational programs and its plans for maintaining and strengthening their quality in the future.	99

CONTENTS

2c. The organization’s ongoing evaluation and assessment processes provide reliable evidence of institutional effectiveness that clearly informs strategies for continuous improvement.	108
2d. All levels of planning align with the organization’s mission, thereby enhancing its capacity to fulfill that mission.	115
Opportunities for improvement	123
Criterion 3: Student Learning and Effective Teaching.....	125
3a. The organization’s goals for student learning outcomes are clearly stated for each educational program and make effective assessment possible.	128
3b. The organization values and supports effective teaching.	132
3c. The organization creates effective learning environments. ..	138
3d. The organization’s learning resources support student learning and effective teaching.	147
Opportunities for improvement	157
Criterion 4: Acquisition, Discovery, and Application of Knowledge.....	161
4a. The organization demonstrates, through the actions of its board, administrators, students, faculty, and staff, that it values a life of learning.	163
4b. The organization demonstrates that acquisition of a breadth of knowledge and skills and the exercise of intellectual inquiry are integral to its educational programs.	175

CONTENTS

4c. The organization assesses the usefulness of its curricula to students who will live and work in a global, diverse, and technological society.	181
4d. The organization provides support to ensure that faculty, students, and staff acquire, discover, and apply knowledge responsibly.	189
Opportunities for improvement	194
Criterion 5: Engagement and Service	197
5a. The organization learns from the constituencies it serves and analyzes its capacity to serve their needs and expectations.	201
5b. The organization has the capacity and the commitment to engage with its identified constituencies and communities.	208
5c. The organization demonstrates its responsiveness to those constituencies that depend on it for service.	222
5d. Internal and external constituencies value the services the organization provides.	230
Opportunities for improvement	230
Operational Realities	233
Conclusion	241
Appendices	255
Acknowledgements	281

