

**UMKC FACULTY SENATE**  
**OCTOBER 21, 2003**  
**3:00-5:00**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER - PLAZA ROOM**

**AGENDA**

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Who</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
1. Announcements		
2. Chancellor/Provost report -- Deans update -- What's coming up for discussion at Deans' Council	Gilliland, Ballard	Information
3. Program Viability Audit/ Resources for Our Vision	Ballard	Discussion continued from All Faculty meeting
4. Workload policy: follow-up -- questions from units -- <b><u>be prepared to vote</u></b>	Waterborg	Discussion & vote
5. Vice-Chair Election	Green	Update
6. Guests and the Press  (see p.2 of agenda)	Schweitzberger, Vorst	Discussion
7. IFC report	Waterborg	Information
8. Roo Prints Reserves/Library Reserves  (See p. 2 of agenda)	Manley	Discussion
9. Other committee reports as time allows		

Next scheduled meeting: November 4, 2003

Item 6: (From Karen Vorst)

Kathy,

I did not bring this up today because the agenda was so full, but I am more than displeased regarding the article in the Aurora newsletter or whatever it is. I had no idea that someone was taping the discussion, which they had to have done to some extent to be able to quote so extensively (while some of the quotes they attributed to me were fairly accurate, some were not). Did anyone know that this person was there and that they were taking notes that eventually would make their way to a website? If so, why weren't we informed? I am disgusted that this has happened and feel that there is something very wrong here. I did not give anyone permission to quote me and I'm not a public figure (politician) for whom anything is fair game.

I need to know whether the Senate meetings are open to anyone/everyone. If they are, then I guess anything that we say can be printed. I must say that that doesn't make for good candid discussion on any of the issues. I certainly will be more reluctant to speak my mind in such an open forum if I thought someone were taking down verbatim what I said and then putting it up on a website. If they are not open to everyone, why was that person there? Why weren't we informed that they were there? We already have minutes that are published for the meeting from Harris which are sufficiently thorough without direct quotes so that we can have a free exchange of ideas.

If the Senate meetings are open, then there's not much we can do. However, in the future, I would very much like to be informed about who is there and whether or not our comments will be quoted and distributed openly. If the Senate meetings are not open, then I would like you as Faculty Senate Chair to demand that Aurora take that article off their website.

I look forward to your reply. Thanks.

Karen

Item 8: (from Harold Manley)

Kathleen,

I would like to bring an issue to Fac Senate for discussion. The issue is Roo Prints and copying policies (or lack thereof). In a nutshell, the SOP had an issue where a student placed a previously administered exam (last years exam) on reserve for other students to copy. When Roo Prints was asked who placed the exam on reserve, no name could be provided (records of such are not kept) and comment was made that "This has been occurring for years". Albeit true that students disseminate previous exams to other students for studying purposes, etc., the issue raised is should the University sanctioned copying center condone such behavior by making reprints available (some think it is unprofessional)? Another issue is how will an employee of Roo prints know whether or not any course related material (previous exam, essay, etc) from any school (law, nursing, arts & sciences, etc) is OK to place on reserve? Last year an exam "escaped" from a course that has a policy not to give back previously administered exams to students. Will Roo prints know that this exam is not appropriate to place on reserve and will they keep record of who placed it on reserve so that the individual can be "caught"? What prohibits a student from placing inappropriate material (e.g., extreme example -- porn, etc.) on reserve?

Again, these issues speak to the effect that policies need be in place for Roo Prints or the Library for that matter? Perhaps I am unaware of such policies and that no discussion needs be had at Fac Senate.