Minutes of the University Budget Committee Meeting

11/08/18, Brookside Room, Administrative Center

<u>Members Present:</u> Mauli Agrawal, Barbara Bichelmeyer, Kelli Cox, Stephen Dilks, Sheri Gormley, Roland Hemmings Jr., Mark L. Johnson, Brian Klaas, Ali Korkmaz, Sharon Lindenbaum, Sandra Miles, Bonnie Postlethwaite, Kevin Truman, Karen Wilkerson.

Guests: Lawrence Dreyfus, Jen Salvo-Eaton

<u>Members Absent (excused):</u> David Fulks / Jaelon Brooks, Viviana Grieco, Carol Hintz, Michele Maher, Leigh Salzsieder,

UBC Secretary Mark Johnson called the meeting to order at 8:01 AM.

Agenda Items (see attached Agenda)

<u>Approval of Minutes of the October 11th, 2018 meeting:</u> Secretary Mark Johnson asked if there were any edits to the October 11th, 2018 meeting minutes? None were submitted. Stephen Dilks moved approval of the Minutes as submitted. Kelli Cox and Bonnie Postlethwaite seconded. The minutes were unanimously approved.

<u>Chancellor Updates:</u> Chancellor Agrawal indicated that there was an opportunity to access funds from System for scholarships that UMKC would be pursuing. More to be announced at his upcoming State of UMKC Address.

<u>FY18 ORS Annual Report and F&A Recovery:</u> Vice Chancellor for Research and Economic Development Lawrence Dreyfus distributed advanced draft copies of the Annual ORS Report. He indicated that final numbers would be incorporated very soon, the final F&A recovery numbers need to be included. Dr. Dreyfus reported that F&A recovery varies tremendously across grants. For example, grants from Kansas City entities generally don't have F&A and many foundations and Industry grants carry only modest F&A percentages based on their policies. Increasing overall F&A recovery will dramatically increase the ORS budget.

Chancellor Agrawal asked if there is a policy on Industry F&A rates? Dr. Dreyfus responded that it depends, in many cases whether IP is involved or not. Ranges from 25-70%. He reminded the UBC that the budget does not influence the science of the grant or its review, so faculty need to understand this and not worry about the size of the budget. The budget should reflect what is needed to perform the science. Provost Bichelmeyer asked what Units are producing well and which Units are losing. Dr. Dreyfus indicated that Medicine and SCE have shown increases. SBS and AOD have lost key faculty, but with new hires they should show significant increase. Nursing has received a lot of funding for the addiction technology, which should continue. Pharmacy is stable, but has lost some faculty. Our biggest challenge is the potential loss of key faculty and how do retain them? Where do we get the funds to support retention? Chancellor Agrawal suggested that the SOP funding seems low and is all of SONHS sponsored research? Dr. Dreyfus indicated that the SOP numbers do not reflect recent funding. The SONHS grants are a bit of both, some sponsored research awards and other are not. Chancellor Agrawal observed that the numbers suggest that overall research expenditures have dipped a dipped while award numbers have been flat. Is there a reason for this? Dr. Dreyfus indicated that the reasons vary from Unit to Unit.

Sharon Lindenbaum asked about what is contained within the \$16M shown for SCE? Dean Truman said he would have to look into this to find out specifically.

Chancellor Agrawal asked if an analysis has been don of expenditures per faculty? Dr. Dreyfus responded that about 25% of faculty are generating 70% of awards. Chancellor Agrawal asked Dr. Dreyfus to run a current analysis.

Dean Truman asked if we can show interdisciplinarity on grants in which faculty collaborate with PI from other Units? Chancellor Agrawal thought that we should be able to do this based on % effort listed on grants. Dr. Dreyfus stated that we can get at this, but it is not easy to get at this with our PeopleSoft system.

<u>FY19 Q1 Financials</u>: Sharon Lindenbaum summarized the Q1 financial numbers as we have them at this time. We have a net increase vs budget in tuition, while other revenues are down slightly. Currently salaries and wages are lower than budgeted, as are other expenses. This is more a matter of timing as to when these numbers come in. Overall for the year to date we are 4M plus vs budget. We generally have ~98% of revenue picture by end of December. Chancellor Agrawal indicated that he would like to see prior two years of data added for comparison purposes and for trend analysis.

Interlibrary Loan (ILL) Requests: Dean Postlethwaite and Jen Salvo-Eaton distributed a handout on ILL trends and briefly discussed its content. She indicated that ILL would be reduced if we had the budget to afford more collections. Chancellor Agrawal asked if there were certain areas where ILL requests come from more than others? Jen indicated that ILLs mainly come from the STEM fields. The cost per download is ~ \$50. Provost Bichelmeyer suggested that we need to have a high level group meeting to discuss the Library budget and fees to address these issues. Chancellor Agrawal asked if we have a library fee per credit hour. Jen indicated that we do not at this time. Dean Truman asked if we are optimally using the Linda Hall Library? Dean Postlethwaite indicated yes/no. There are problems with electronic access and some holdings are not as extensive.

<u>APR Update:</u> Vice Provost Kelli Cox indicated that the FY17 and FY18 data will be coming soon, more to say at the next UBC meeting.

<u>FSBC Report:</u> Chair Mark Johnson indicated that the FSBC will meet next week to review FY18 year-end financials and will report its findings to the Faculty Senate and the UBC at the next meeting. Provost Bichelmeyer stated that it is time to move forward from comparing to the Old Budget Model and set our new baseline with the FY19 data. Mark agreed.

There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 8:50 AM.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark L. Johnson, Ph.D.

Mark L. Johnson, Ph. D.

Secretary

University Budget Committee