I. Time, Location and Attendance:

- 2:00PM, Brookside Room at Administrative Center
- UBC members present: Provost Gail Hackett (Chair), Dave Donnelly, Gary Ebersole, Maureen Hannoun, Carol Hintz., Tony Luppino, Michael Plamann Marsha Pyle, Kevin Truman, Mel Tyler, and Wayne Vaught. Absent: Lyla Lindholm.
- Others present: Chancellor Leo Morton, Larry Bunce, Sharon Lindenbaum, John Morrissey, and Karen Wilkerson.

II. Preliminary Administrative Matters:

- The minutes of the April 15, 2013 meeting were approved in the form last circulated before the meeting, with one correction to the attendance report.

III. Report on State/System Developments

- There were no significant new State/System developments directly affecting UMKC budgeting since the April 15 meeting for the Chancellor to report to the UBC. Some legislative proposals that could affect budgeting were discussed, but did not seem on track for passage.

IV. Major Support Costs Benchmarking Study

- Tony Luppino, UBC member and Chair of the Administrative & Support Costs Joint Subcommittee of the UBC and the 2020 Task Force, reported on the updating of the 2008-2009 major support costs benchmarking study which was done primarily by Larry Bunce of Institutional Research, with some input from Tony. After complimenting Larry for his terrific work on pulling the data together both in 2008-2009 (which used FY 2007 IPEDS data) and in this 2013 updating (which used FY 2011 IPEDS data), Tony went through slides showing comparisons on a per-student-FTE basis for various separate and combined categories of support costs.

- The general preliminary observations on the updated comparisons were that it seemed UMKC’s major non-instructional support costs are not significantly higher or lower than similarly sized universities, but that, unlike the conclusion drawn in 2008-2009, it seemed that the comparator institutions with 20,000 or so student enrollment now have somewhat significantly higher non-instructional support costs than UMKC—which might suggest that to accommodate UMKC growing to 20,000 enrollment it
may be necessary to increase such support costs. However, suggestions were made by Committee members and the Chancellor for some additional study of certain aspects of the analysis, and it was agreed that such study shall be done.

V. Sharing of General Overhead Assessment among the Academic Units

- Karen Wilkerson presented a schedule showing options for handling the continued phase-in of the modified metrics developed in April 2012 for sharing the General Overhead Assessment among the 11 principal academic units under the Budget Model. After some discussion, the Committee recommended and Chancellor Morton agreed that for FY 2014 budgeting the rolling average/Option 4 approach would be used.

- It was also agreed that during this summer’s comprehensive review of the operation of the Budget Model there would be a review of the April 2012 General Overhead Assessment sharing metrics, but limited to only considering whether any line items of expense under a particular metric would more appropriately be shared under one of the other four metrics—i.e., without changing the five metrics adopted in April 2012, and without adding any new metrics. UBC Member Tony Luppino suggested that the principal issue to consider in this limited review is whether any of the line items under the “default metrics” (Total Current Funds Expenditures) might be better shared (in terms of measurement of relative usage of the associated support services) under one of the other four metrics.

VI. Proposed Use of the Approximately $2.7 Million Uncommitted Portion of the State Money from Prior FY 2013 Holdback

- Provost Hackett and Vice Chancellor Lindenbaum reported that final proposals on the approximately $2.7 million uncommitted portion of the FY 2013 State Appropriation holdback had not yet been set, and agreed to report to the Committee and other campus constituencies when they are determined.

VII. Special Allocations Off-the-Top of the State Appropriation

- After some discussion and debate, particularly with respect to the proposed special allocation to create a 1.5% salary increase pool, the Committee recommended that the Chancellor adopt the special allocations off the top of the State Appropriation which had been preliminarily proposed at the April 15 Committee meeting.
VIII. Recovery F & A

- Following up on his presentation to the Committee earlier in the semester, Vice Chancellor of Research & Economic Development Lawrence Dreyfus shared with the Committee a written proposal he is vetting with faculty and deans to phase in a reapportionment of Recovery F & A that would ultimately reduce the percentage going directly to academic units from 50% to 25% but, he explained, is designed to deliver significant long-term benefits to researchers by better funding of the Office of Research Services.

- The Committee did not take action on whether or not to recommend that the Chancellor adopt the proposal, deferring such action until the vetting process is completed and views of various constituencies expressed for the Committee to take into account.

IX. Timetable for Setting FY 2014 GRA Apportionment

- The Committee agreed that it had with this meeting completed its input on the major categories of decision relating to FY 2014 GRA apportionment under the Budget Model, and understood that the final work would now be done by the Chancellor and the Office of Budgeting and Planning to make the final computations and circulate the FY 2014 GRA apportionment decisions to the unit leaders.